Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/771,692	PARK ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Alison K. Pickard	3673
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Alison K. Pickard</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>David Suter</u> .	(4)	•
Date of Interview: 30 August 2006	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: n/a		
Claims discussed: 1, 13, 14, 16, and 17		
Prior art documents discussed: n/a		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	n summary of the substance interview, since the interview
	<u>. </u>	
al Retail		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Sig	nature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: the examiner called to clarify some language in claim 1, which needed proper antecedent basis. And, claims 13, 14, 16, and 17 were withdrawn due to a restriction (process claims) and needed to be cancelled. Claims 21, 22, and 24 will be rejoined as those relate to the election requirement and require the limitations of the allowed product claim 18. Applicant agreed to the changes, which are entered via examiner's amendment..